SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	**International Journal of Biochemistry Research & Review**
Manuscript Number:	**Ms_IJBCRR_29130**
Title of the Manuscript:	**Digestibility of Leaf Proteins of Gnetum spp vegetables in Rats and Effects of some Antinutrients**
Type of the Article	**Original Research Article**

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty'**, provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound.
To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline)

SDI Review Form 1.6

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	**Author's comment** *(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)*
Compulsory REVISION comments	**References to be added in formula or calculation in methodology.** **The interpretation of the results were written very general, should be more specific.** **Why was the 'Weight of rats fed casein diet used as control was higher than those of rats fed 198 experimental diets' – need to be discussed.** **Justify the use of wistar rats.**	
Minor REVISION comments	The author concluded that 'Supplementation with diets containing 10% of 312 leaf proteins from *Gnetum* spp can be used for weight management in human' which is too general. The aim and the conclusion of the study should be more specific.	
Optional/General comments	The manuscript is well written. The scientific merit is observed from the research work.	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	***Zar Chi Thent***
Department, University & Country	***Department Of Anatomy, Universiti Teknologi Mara, Malaysia***