



SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	International Journal of Biochemistry Research & Review
Manuscript Number:	Ms_IJBCRR_23352
Title of the Manuscript:	PROXIMATE AND MINERAL ANALYSIS OF METHANOLIC LEAF EXTRACT OF <i>Napoleona imperialis</i>
Type of the Article	Original Research Articles

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound.

To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)



SDI Review Form 1.6

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments	After the scientific name of the title (Common Name) is included Abstract –should not be all CAPS The mineral elements were analyzed – delete Mineral . . . The mineral analysis of the leaves showed sodium –should not be capitalized Introduction – should not be capitalized Methodology – should not be all CAPS 2.1 Sample Collection – not all CAPS Line 56 and 67 Add more sentences because the paragraph contains only one sentence 2.2 Preparation of Extracts – should not be all CAPS 2.3 Proximate Analysis – should not be all CAPS . Enumerate the tests included 2.3.2 Determination of Ash Content should not be all CAPS Crucibles <u>were</u> thoroughly washed. . . The empty crucibles <u>were</u> then . . . The pre-weighed crucible <u>was</u> . . . Crucible and its content were reweighed . . . The percentage ash content <u>was</u> calculated 2.3.3 Determination of Fibre Content – should not be all CAPS 2.3.4 Determination of Crude Fat/ Lipids – should not be all CAPS 2.3.5 Determination of Total Carbohydrate – not all CAPS 2.4 Mineral Content – should not be all CAPS 2.4.1 Sample Digestion – not all CAPS This should be in paragraph form to be consistent	



SDI Review Form 1.6

	with the above procedures 3 Results and Discussion – not all CAPS Make an introductory statement before presenting the Tables. After Table 1 discuss the results to be followed by Table 2 and the discussion should follow Conclusion should not be all CAPS References – should not be all CAPS The references are not alphabetically arranged it should appear in the chrononlogical order cited. The old references should be replaced with new ones	
<u>Minor</u> REVISION comments	Indent the paragraphs	
<u>Optional/General</u> comments		

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Lorna T. Enerva
Department, University & Country	Department of Physical Sciences, Polytechnic University of the Philippines, Philippines