



SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	International Journal of Biochemistry Research & Review
Manuscript Number:	Ms_IJBCRR_23196
Title of the Manuscript:	Comparative Hepatotoxic Effects of Aqueous and Phenolic Extracts of Avocado (Persea americana) Seed in Wistar Albino Rats
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound.

To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)



SDI Review Form 1.6

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments		
Minor REVISION comments	In general terms the manuscript is well written but the degree of novelty is low. The manuscript generates more questions than it does conclude. Here are some suggestions of form and substance are made: • In summary: change group IV by group treated with a solution of phenol (0.9%) • The ripeness of the fruit is not indicated. • The quantity of seed powder obtained are not reported. • Lack detail the preparation of the extracts (quantity of seed powder, solvent, etc.) • What were the conditions of storage?. • Specifications of animals used are not given: Age, gender, weight, number of animals per group, etc. • What was done to monitor the animals: weight gain, food intake, nitrogen balance? • In the photomicrographs missing scales. The findings are hypotheses that could be solved by making the chemical characterization of the extracts.	
Optional/General comments		

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Anonymous
Department, University & Country	Universidad de La Sabana, Colombia