



SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	British Journal of Medicine and Medical Research
Manuscript Number:	Ms_BJMMR_29645
Title of the Manuscript:	PHYTOCHEMICAL SCREENING OF TOBACCO (Nicotiana tabacum) AND ITS EFFECTS ON SOME HAEMATOLOGICAL PARAMETERS AND HISTOPATHOLOGY OF LIVER AND BRAIN IN RATS
Type of the Article	

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound.

To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)



SDI Review Form 1.6

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<u>Compulsory</u> REVISION comments	Line 24: N – N (italics) Line 28-29 - references are not according to the format of the journal. Line 56: sigma – Sigma Line 80-82 and line 100: References are not given properly: for example it should be - according to Sofowara [11]. When you are mentioning according to so and so you should Mention the scientist name and then the number in brackets. Same case in line 182. Line 124, 126, 129, 131, 134, 137 , 142, 144, 147, 149, 151, 154: Nicotiana – italics Line 175, 178, 241: Nicotiana tabacum – italics Line 195: nicotiana – Nicotiana (N – capital letter) Line 205: Reference not according to the format of the journal. Line 206: Mention the names of researchers and then give the number in brackets. Line 261: Name of the journal, volume, pages missing Line 261: Oreochromis niloticus - Italics	
<u>Minor</u> REVISION comments		
<u>Optional/General</u> comments		

Reviewer Details:

Name:	T.Pullaiyah
Department, University & Country	Department of Botany, Sri Krishnadevaaraya University, India