SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	**British Journal of Medicine and Medical Research**
Manuscript Number:	**Ms_BJMMR_22624**
Title of the Manuscript:	**DIABETES IN PREGNANCY STUDY GROUP IN INDIA (DIPSI) – A NOVEL CRITERION TO DIAGNOSE GDM**
Type of the Article	**Original Research Article**

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound.
To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline)

SDI Review Form 1.6

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	**Author's comment** *(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)*
Compulsory REVISION comments	- **Please revise the English of the text. A native speaker should be considered.** - **The AUC for both procedures should be provided.** - **The small sample size is a limitation of this study and should be discussed in a dedicated limitation section.** - **Standard units should be linked to the numerical data. Please revise the entire text and the abstract.** - **What about the application of this text in prediabets? Please discuss the importance of diagnosis in prediabetes as this population is at higher cardiovascular risk (see and comments the paper from Ciccone MM et al. J Diabetes Metab 2014;5:364. doi: 10.4172/2155-6156.1000364).**	
Minor REVISION comments		
Optional/General comments		

Reviewer Details:

Name:	**Marco Matteo Ciccone**
Department, University & Country	**Cardiology Department, University of Bari, Italy**