



SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	International Journal of Biochemistry Research & Review
Manuscript Number:	Ms_IJBCRR_30494
Title of the Manuscript:	In vitro and In vivo assessment of the Anti-diabetes Potentials of <i>Murraya koenigii</i> , <i>Hibiscus cannabinus</i> , <i>Vernonia amygdalina</i> and <i>Telfairia occidentalis</i> leave extract
Type of the Article	Research Artical

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound.

To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments	1. In 2.2.4 – formula of inhibition activity (%) should be written in a standard format and normal font. 2. Concentration of ethanolic plant extract should be mentioned clear	1. Noted –correction effected see manuscript p.5 as highlighted in blue. 2. Noted- This was previously mentioned in p.10 but it is now clearly in the abstract on p. 1 an
Minor REVISION comments	1. All points should be in a clear sequence. 2. Some grammatical mistakes found which should be corrected.	1. Noted- taken care of in the introduction section 2. Noted- Taken care of in the overall work. 3. All in highlighted in blue in the relevant pages in the manuscript.
Optional/General comments	Work is good.	The kind compliment is very much appreciated by our team.