



SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	International Journal of Biochemistry Research & Review
Manuscript Number:	Ms_IJBCRR_29130
Title of the Manuscript:	Digestibility of Leaf Proteins of Gnetum spp vegetables in Rats and Effects of some Antinutrients
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound.

To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)



SDI Review Form 1.6

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<u>Compulsory</u> REVISION comments	References to be added in formula or calculation in methodology. The interpretation of the results were written very general, should be more specific. Why was the 'Weight of rats fed casein diet used as control was higher than those of rats fed 198 experimental diets' – need to be discussed. Justify the use of wistar rats.	Reference for calculations has be added We have revised the interpretation (see manuscript); Use of rats is justified in methodology
<u>Minor</u> REVISION comments	The author concluded that 'Supplementation with diets containing 10% of 312 leaf proteins from <i>Gnetum</i> spp can be used for weight management in human' which is too general. The aim and the conclusion of the study should be more specific.	Conclusion was revised
<u>Optional/General</u> comments	The manuscript is well written. The scientific merit is observed from the research work.	