

Editor's comments:

Please find attached the revised manuscript in which a few corrections have been highlighted and indicated in bold letters and yellow color. Also find attached the list of corrections needed. Incorporate these corrections. A gross mistake has appeared in the keywords: It is given as 'apple leaves' while the study has been conducted on the fruits.

1. Page 2: Keywords: **apple leaves**, phenolic compounds, phloridzin, antioxidant activity

As understood, the study was conducted on apple fruit samples. Then why apple leaves in keywords?

2. Page 4; We **studies** (correct as 'studied')

3. Page 9: **80 t/ha** (Pl. give as 80 t ha^{-1} as given at other places)

4. Figure 1: Page 10: **Indicate whether 12 and 13 were unidentified peaks.**

5. Page 19: activity **– 112.57** $\mu\text{mol g}^{-1}$ (Fig. 7 and 8).

Please correct as: reached $112.57 \mu\text{mol g}^{-1}$ as – 112.57 may appear as negative value.

Author's Feedback:

In the manuscript is presented variation of quantitative composition of phenolic compounds of the apples samples in the phenological periods of maturation and fruit ripening. The keyword “apple leaves” came by mistake during revision of the first manuscript's version where variation of quantitative composition of phenolic compounds of the apples fruits and it's leaves during various phenological periods were put both together. We agree with this remark and keywords in the recent version is corrected.

In the figure 1 we have shown additionally 12th and 13th chromatographic peaks because the two phenolic compounds (procyanidin C1-peak 6, and reynoutrin-peak 10) were not identified in the first version of manuscript. Therefore we changed figure in edited manuscript version accordingly with new numeration.

We agree with all remarks. Corrections that are made are in yellow color. We also strongly hope our manuscript will be suitable for publication.