



SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	International Journal of Biochemistry Research & Review
Manuscript Number:	Ms_IJBCRR_28856
Title of the Manuscript:	Seasonal variation of qualitative and quantitative composition of phenolic compounds and antioxidant activity in apple (<i>Malus domestica</i> Borkh.) fruits
Type of the Article	Research article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound.

To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)



SDI Review Form 1.6

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<u>Compulsory</u> REVISION comments	The article is interesting, well written and structured. In my opinion it lacks of analytical information, especially in the determination of the phenolic compounds which is the core of the manuscript. Even if two references for the HPLC method and one for the extraction method used have been cited , the method performances parameters (recovery, repeatability, linearity etc..) should be reported in the material and method section.	For the analysis of the apples samples was applied our own developed, optimised and validated HPLC method. The all it's validation parameters are published in the latter article Mindaugas Liaudanskas, Pranas Viškelis, Valdas Jakštas, et al., "Application of an Optimized HPLC Method for the Detection of Various Phenolic Compounds in Apples from Lithuanian Cultivars," Journal of Chemistry, vol. 2014, Article ID 542121, 10 pages, 2014. doi:10.1155/2014/542121 Therefore to repeat it would be incorrect and burdens already long manuscript with excessive information.
<u>Minor</u> REVISION comments	The manuscript needs an overall English revision for misspelling..(eg line 42: applies....instead of apples)	Manuscript's English language was revised by specialist.
<u>Optional/General</u> comments		We strongly hope that revised version of this manuscript will be suitable for publication in "International Journal of Biochemistry Research & Review"