



SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	International Journal of Biochemistry Research & Review
Manuscript Number:	Ms_IJBCRR_28764
Title of the Manuscript:	PHYTOCHEMICAL COMPOSITION AND ANTIMIRCROBIAL ACTIVITY FROMMEDICINAL PLANT EXTRACTS OF DANIELLA OLIVERION CLINICAL ORGANISMS
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound.

To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)



SDI Review Form 1.6

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<u>Compulsory</u> REVISION comments	1) summary too long to reduce 2) Introduction too, contains duplications, but mostly develops many aspects not related to the subject thus removing 3) in the part results you cites the content of the tables but does not develop 4) in the discussion section, you compares your results with the results of other authors but you do not discuss, so you should give your opinion on this results compared to other authors and explaining according to you how these extracts would be beneficial and it depends on what ; such Why low concentrations do not yield results, while those that are high yield results.	-corrected -Corrected -Corrected Corrceted corrected
<u>Minor</u> REVISION comments	The reference: (Cowan, 1999) don't exist in reference. In text Barrow 1995, in reference list Barrow GI, Feltham RKA (1995) Cowan and Steel's Manual for Identification of Medical , 3rd edn.Cambridge, Cambridge University. Then in text its may be (Barrow and Feltham, 1995).	No,Cowan exist Done
<u>Optional/General</u> comments	Please revise the bibliographical references: the form in text and there are a lot of missing references	