



SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	International Journal of Biochemistry Research & Review
Manuscript Number:	Ms_IJBCRR_28493
Title of the Manuscript:	Biochemical Impacts of Radiation Processing on Grapes and Artichoke Agro Industrial Wastes
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound.

To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)



SDI Review Form 1.6

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments	I read the manuscript thoroughly. It is a good scientific investigation warranting publication. However it needs few revisions. It is a good scientific investigation. However it needs few revision. 1. In the text while giving the references the references are given in superscript. Give them in normal sequence not superscript. 2. Scientific names must be in italics: Please change from normal to italics: Line :450: <i>Vicia faba</i>, 453: <i>Entada scandens</i>, <i>Canavalis gladiata</i>, 459: <i>Capsicum annuum</i>, 470: <i>Salmo salar</i>, 489: <i>Prunus dulcis</i>, 530: <i>Cynara</i>, 533: <i>Cynara cardunculus, sylvestris</i>, 544: <i>Cynara cardunculus, scolymus</i>, 547: <i>Cynara cardunculus</i>, 556: <i>Tetrapleura tetrapteera</i>, 560: <i>Nelumbo nucifera</i>, 586: <i>Nocardia</i>, 641: <i>Citrus unshiu</i>, 644: <i>Glycine max</i>; 660: <i>Echinodorus macrophyllus</i>; 681: <i>Cynara scolymus</i> 3. References in References section: please follow the journal format, year should come earlier than journal volume and not in brackets 3. Line 668, 671: Title of the article missing	Thank you for your useful comments and suggestions on the language and structure of our manuscript. We have modified the manuscript accordingly, and all corrections were done: N.B. Lines numbers were changed according to the editing process. 1. ✓ Done. 2. ✓ All done. 3. ✓ Done according to the journal format. ✓ All done.
Minor REVISION comments	Line 73: <i>Vitis species</i> – <i>Vitis vinifera</i>	All comments were done upon your remarks.



SDI Review Form 1.6

	Line 91, 92: <i>var.</i> – var. Line 97,163, 178, 192, 200, 204, 206, 207, 211, 212, 214, 220, 226, 248, 251, 254, 280, 290, 292, 357, 374, 375, 379, 403, 435, 438, 444 : Authors – noral letters, not bold. Similarly 171, 172 A.O.A.C. normal letters not bold. Use bod letters only for headings not in text. Line 131: <i>cynara</i> - <i>Cynara</i> Line 149: veterinary – veterinarian Line 157, 233, 235, 265, 268, 304, 317, 331, 361, 413: Table – normal letters not bold Similarly for Figure 1 (line 386) Line 190: HCL – HCl	
<u>Optional/General</u> comments		