SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	**International Journal of Biochemistry Research & Review**
Manuscript Number:	**Ms_IJBCRR_28150**
Title of the Manuscript:	**Diagnostic significance of Icteric index**
Type of the Article	**Original Research Article**

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound.
To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline)

SDI Review Form 1.6

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	**Author's comment** *(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)*
Compulsory REVISION comments	**"Diagnostic significance of icteric index" is a well written manuscript that attempts to determine and evaluate the icteric index of serum samples correlating it with total bilirubin level; also determining if this index can be used as a biomarker. Introduction, Methodology and Results are well written and concise, explaining in a correct way the importance aspects of each of these topics. Discussion is also well written, but I suggest a better analysis of the international literature, in order to compare the results with other papers.**	International study by Maria Salinas and colleagues has been compared with our study.(Refererence 11)
Minor REVISION comments	Abstract is too long and have to be written in accordance to Author Guidelines.	Abstract is modified as suggested.
Optional/General comments		