



SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	International Journal of Biochemistry Research & Review
Manuscript Number:	Ms_IJBCRR_27764
Title of the Manuscript:	ROLE OF OXIDATIVE STRESS MARKER MDA IN TYPE 2 DIABETES MELLITUS ASSOCIATED WITH HYPERLIPIDEMIA
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound.

To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)



SDI Review Form 1.6

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments	Introduction: Line: 30- please mention reference No. of Stainslaw et al. Line: 46- please mention reference No. of DeZwart, Mehboob et al. Line: 50- a "full stop" after "patients". Methodology: The sample size is "60". Is it determined statistically? Justify the use of "60" subjects. Line 70-72- rearrange the statements. Line 82-84- mention references of these methods. Put separate side headings for "estimation of parameters" and 'blood collection" before the respective content in the text. Inform software used for statistical analysis. Results and Discussion: Line 102-104- check for the reference of Ozdemir et al. Can tables be made more compact? Line 131- reframe the statement and mention the reference No.	Thank you so much sir for your suggestions. We have made all the changes as per your requirement and have highlighted those lines. Required changes have been done in references and reference numbers have been mentioned both after the name of researcher and at the end of statement as well. The sample size has been chosen randomly. Patients who were of similar age and BMI AND having type 2 diabetes visiting the outpatient clinics or admitted in wards were selected randomly for the study. LINES 70-72 have been rearranged and references of methods have been mentioned. Separate headings have been given for estimation of parameters and blood collection before the respective text. Tables have been made as compact as possible Discussion has been reorganised again with all required references.

	Line 135- reference No of Kume et al.? Line 162- reference No of Soliman? Line 163-168- - reference No of Kesavulu.? Line 171- reference No of Januszweski? Line 207-reference No of Chapman? Please mention the reference Nos after the name of researcher in the text as well as after completion of the statement. Unless it is a journal requirement. Conclusion: Please highlight the role of MDA and mention it in your conclusion. As your title emphasises a lot about MDA.	All required reference numbers have been mentioned and highlighted in the text. Light have been thrown on the role of MDA in the conclusion part
Minor REVISION comments	Authors are requested to revisit the manuscript to make it more reader friendly.	The whole manuscript have been revisited and results and discussion portion have been reorganised. We really appreciate suggestions of the reviewer as it has really encouraged us to incorporate important details which were earlier missing in the manuscript. Thank you so much.
Optional/General comments	This study supports the existing knowledge about the association of DM complications and hyperlipidaemia.	