



SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	International Journal of Biochemistry Research & Review
Manuscript Number:	Ms_IJBCRR_27595
Title of the Manuscript:	"STUDY OF SERUM MYELOPEROXIDASE ACTIVITY AND MALONDIALDEHYDE LEVELS IN PREECLAMPSIA"
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound.

To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)



SDI Review Form 1.6

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<u>Compulsory</u> REVISION comments	The paper "STUDY OF SERUM MYELOPEROXIDASE ACTIVITY AND MALONDIALDEHYDE LEVELS IN PREECLAMPSIA" describes that the increased oxidative stress concentration is involved in the pathogenesis of preeclampsia. Their purpose of this study is attractive and the realization of the prediction of preeclampsia in early pregnancy will be beneficial for many clinicians; however, the poor data lessens the overall quality of the paper. Furthermore, the poor and repetitive discussion leads to impaired quality of this manuscript. All concerns listed below and need to be addressed to improve the overall quality. Table 1 is not needed. Background of the subjects should be summarized as a table. Results regarding MPO and MDA should not be repeated in the section of discussion. The authors sing the same tune in the section of discussion and introduction.	Thank you for your valuable suggestions. We have considered your suggestions and changes have been made accordingly in the revised manuscript. Background of the subjects has been summarized in tables 1 & 2 and in Figures 1 & 2 As per your advice we have modified the manuscript in such a way that there is no repetition of details.
<u>Minor</u> REVISION comments		
<u>Optional/General</u> comments		