



SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	International Journal of Biochemistry Research & Review
Manuscript Number:	Ms_IJBCRR_26548
Title of the Manuscript:	Assessment of the Diabetogenic Potential of Atorvastatin and the Effects of Atorvastatin on Body Weight, Haematological Indices, and Serum Calcium Level in Female Albino Wistar Rats
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound.

To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)



SDI Review Form 1.6

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<u>Compulsory</u> REVISION comments	1- Considering the abstract, in the results part, sentence 2, it was written 'there was an overall decrease in C-reactive protein, unfortunately this analysis wasn't mentioned neither in the materials and methods nor in the results. 2-Considering the abstract, it is clear that the last sentence in the conclusion part is incomplete. 3- Materials and Methods 2.2 Methods 2.2.1 Dose Determination and Preparation: Sentence 10: The 0 mg/kg body weight should not be considered between the 4 different doses, it should be given the name placebo as it was mentioned in the abstract (study design : sentence 3). 4- A reference should be written for the dose determination and preparation (2.2.1) 5- Figure 1 should be enlarged to be clearer. 6- In Results 3.4 sentence 2, it is written as shown in table 4.1.5? it must be table:3	1- The Method and material for CRP has been included 2 - Text box has been adjusted correctly 3 - 0 mgkg replaced with placebo 4 - reference added 5. figure has been enlarged 6- corrected
<u>Minor</u> REVISION comments		
<u>Optional/General</u> comments	1-Weak discussion 2-References are not up to date	1-discussion strengthened 2-references updated.