



SDI FINAL EVALUATION FORM 1.1

PART 1:

Journal Name:	International Journal of Biochemistry Research & Review
Manuscript Number:	Ms_IJBCRR_26473
Title of the Manuscript:	EFFECT OF METANOLIC TOTAL EXTRACT OF CHRYSOPHILLUM PERPULCHRUM ON LIPEMIA, AND BLOOD PRESSURE IN RATS MADE DIABETIC BY STREPTOZOTOCIN
New Title :	EFFECT OF TOTAL METHANOLIC EXTRACT OF <i>CHRYSOPHILLUM PERPULCHRUM</i> ON LIPEMIA, AND BLOOD PRESSURE IN RATS MADE DIABETIC BY STREPTOZOTOCIN
Type of Article:	Original Research Article

PART 2:

FINAL EVALUATOR'S comments on revised paper (if any)	Authors' response to final evaluator's comments
Some recommended corrections were not done by the author and the use of colours to show corrections was not adhered to. This make the review cumbersome and author (s) should take note of that. ABSTRACT Report abstract under the following subheadings: Aims, Methodology, Results, Conclusion. Aim should be concise and avoid repetition of introduction within abstract. Methodology here does not correlate with what is in the text. In few sentences, explain what you did, with reference to sample preparation and animal treatment. The doses as stated here are not comprehensible. Introduction Numbering of headings and sub-headings as recommended by SDI guideline was not followed. There is little or no review of the introduction as there are still issues with grammar and comprehension. Material and Methods Methods/procedures for phytochemical study (not well presented), blood pressure measurement, glucose test, lipid profiling, renal function (urea and creatinine) tests, histology and others are still not reported in the methodology. Vestige of French language still present. Results "Table and figures should be placed inside the text as per their appearance. See SDI guidelines." This has not been done. References "There should be no numbering for references. The references require total review. Author should consult SDI guideline for referencing." I can still see "VI. Reference," and no review was done on references. This is not proper. Tables and Figures The under listed review requests were not done: Tables and figures should be placed inside the text. Vestiges of non-English words should be fully translated into English Language. Tables should be numbered in Arabic numerals-1,2,3 etc. Use full stop after table or figure number (not colon). Also start table title with capital letter see Table 1.	● Yes it is true that some corrections were not taken into account but I explained why. For me, there was a problem of understanding . For the yellow color, I'll do it now. I did not understand well. ABSTRACT ● The abstract has been reported under the following subheadings: Aims, Methodology, Results, and Conclusion. ● The methodology has been corrected. you 'll find it clearer. Introduction I numbered the new headings and sub-headings as recommended by SDI guidelines. You will see. The introduction has been corrected again. Material and Methods ● The methods for phytochemical study have already been published by myself since 2011. It is precisely for this reason that I mentioned the reference which enabled me to conduct the study in this manuscript. If you want, you can always consult the online journal ' ' Sciences Nature, Vol.8 1: 1-11 (2011)". ● Here, you have to know that, the objective is to induce diabetes and to treat him. But when induced diabetes with Streptozotocin, it leads at the same time a rise in blood sugar, blood pressure, it lowers insulin levels and alters lipid levels and renal function. So here, we have only taken the test of induction of diabetes (sub-section 2.3.3) and other parameters were simply assessed (sub-sections 2.3.5 and 2.3.6). Results ● We corrected it and henceforth, figures and tables are inside the text as SDI guideline. References ● Thank you for your remarks. The references have now been corrected according to SDI guideline. Tables and Figures ● The tables and figures have been introduced in the text according to SDI guideline. The numbering of the tables have been corrected.



SDI FINAL EVALUATION FORM 1.1

Author should revisit the original manuscript and previous review comments to “religiously” effect all corrections. The words or phrases in **red** (following the square bracket) should be replaced with those in the square bracket []. Those for deletion without replacement are shown as [delete]. Statements and opinions may also be seen in bracket. Author’s corrections should be in the appropriate colour (**yellow**). Response to every comment is necessary and attention should be given to the following:
 Lines 32,
 54,55,82,88,93,100,104,105,108,110,111,136,158,161,162,163,171,172,198,201,205,206,223,350.
 These line numbers are as in the original manuscript.
 Also look at the general comments with respect to language of communication.

- Your instructions have been well noted. I will do my best to respond to your comments and suggestions.

Thank you.