



SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	International Journal of Biochemistry Research & Review
Manuscript Number:	Ms_IJBCRR_26029
Title of the Manuscript:	LIGHT and FGF-BP are Upregulated in Chronic Liver Injury
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound.

To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)



SDI Review Form 1.6

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<u>Compulsory</u> REVISION comments	Abstract(see highlighted content) 1. Change f to upper case at the start of sentence. 2. Change "then were" to "were then" 3. Remove "were" 4. In the result of abstract, remove "were" and replace with "was". 5. Remove all "shown to be", "was shown to" 6. Add "s" after occur to become "occurs" 7. In the conclusion of abstract, remove "was suggested to be" and replace with "is"	1. It has been corrected 2. It has been corrected 3. It has been corrected 4. LIGHT and FGF-BP were shown 5. It has been corrected 6. "To" must be followed by infinitive 7. It has been corrected
<u>Minor</u> REVISION comments	Main body Introduction Remove "compromise" and change to "comprise" Include "those" before "that" as highlighted Remove full stop before the sentence starting with "The aim of this study...." Remove "'...spects of this study...' Methodology Change "then were" to "were then" Results Remove all the data shown in the table from the text, it is duplication/ repetition of the work. In section 3.3 ... add "was" to "no" highlighted Discussion	Introduction has been corrected Methodology has been corrected Results has been corrected Discussion has been corrected Conclusion has been corrected



SDI Review Form 1.6

	Remove "it was clarified that " Add space after "Supporting the measured biochemical data: " Conclusion Use "cirrhotic" in place of "cirrhosis" Use "did not" in place of "didn't"	
<u>Optional/General</u> comments	The work is perfect, However, the references cited between the years of 1978-2000 needs to be placed with the latest references. Despite this, the work has captured the key concepts and gives a significant contribution to the knowledge in this field of study.	