



SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	International Journal of Biochemistry Research & Review
Manuscript Number:	Ms_IJBCRR_25927
Title of the Manuscript:	Manganese, Zinc and chloride content of milk of West African dwarf goats as influenced by stage of lactation
Type of the Article	

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound.

To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)



SDI Review Form 1.6

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<u>Compulsory</u> REVISION comments	The results of this study did not give any new contribute to the previous knowledge. Minerals content decreased during lactation, as it is known from many years in all the mammalian species.	This is a much generalised statement by the reviewer. I am not conversant with such a documentation that all minerals in mammalian milk decreased with stages of lactation. Even if it was documented, it will not be a justification for preventing other properly designed investigation established knowledge gap where it exists. In this case, the specific interest was in the three essential minerals in goat milk and their variation as affected stages of lactation which hitherto have not been documented for West African dwarf goats
<u>Minor</u> REVISION comments	In the abstract section and sometimes also in the results section the units for minerals content are not specified. The authors must not wrote ($P > 0.05$) if a result is not statistically significant, because $P > 0.05$ may be $P = 0.06$ or $P = 0.98$.	Where the units have been omitted, it has been properly inserted Noted
<u>Optional/General</u> comments		