



SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	International Journal of Biochemistry Research & Review
Manuscript Number:	Ms_IJBCRR_25627
Title of the Manuscript:	Sulphasalazine Prevents Fibrosis; Relevance of TGFβRI
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound.

To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)



SDI Review Form 1.6

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<u>Compulsory</u> REVISION comments	- o The paper needs comprehensive language revising, in addition to complete or total revising. - o Animal groupings need much more accurate clarification. The author has to correct the chemical formula of carbon tetrachloride to CCl₄ all over the manuscript. - o If the author took the weight of the liver, it should be mentioned, which is an essential issue in this work. - o When talking about the results of biochemistry, do not mention much more numbers (because their data is clearly presented in the table) but talk about the results in regards to the significance and use numbers in minimalistic.	- o This is a valuable note, it has been revised and corrected. - o The groups has been clarified and CCl₄ has been corrected - o Unfortunately, animals' weights haven't been taken. - o It has been corrected.



SDI Review Form 1.6

	- o In the table capture, mention the sample size. - o The authors used non international abbreviations such as PT (denoting portal tract), it has to be written as it is. - o The author didn't mention the method of preparation of the hepatic tissue for histopathological examination, in addition they didn't mention on what basis they made the scoring of the histopathological lesions in all groups. Is there any reference for that? - o There is no legend for the histopathological figures. - o Abbreviations could be used after the name first time being whole mentioned. - o The authors mention the reference name several time as Oakley and his team or his associates and so not, it is not correct , mention it as Oakley et al. - o Follow the instructions for writing the references, in terms of the international	- o It has been mentioned - o It has been corrected - o Method of preparation of tissue for histopathology has been added as a complete section in methodology • Scoring of histopathological lesion has been achieved according to subjective scoring approved by pathology department, faculty of medicine, Al Azhar university, Cairo, Egypt; a department where it has been scored and in accordance with clues attached - o The legend for histopathological figure has been added - o Abbreviation has been corrected - o References has been modified
--	---	--



SDI Review Form 1.6

	journal abbreviations.	
<u>Minor</u> REVISION comments		
<u>Optional/General</u> comments	Please see the attached copy of the manuscript with the comments.	Ok