



SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	International Journal of Biochemistry Research & Review
Manuscript Number:	Ms_IJBCRR_25356
Title of the Manuscript:	CHELATION POTENTIAL OF AQUEOUS LEAF EXTRACTS OF VERNONIA AMYGDALINA AND PHYLLANTHUS AMARUS ON KIDNEY FUNCTIONS IN LEAD-INTOXICATED ALBINO WISTAR RATS
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound.

To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)



SDI Review Form 1.6

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<u>Compulsory</u> REVISION comments	1. The abstract should clearly state the plant parts used and the form of the extracts given. 2. The research paper should clearly state the SI unit of all parameters checked. 3. The research paper did not clearly show that the authors were able to ascertain lead intoxication. This aspect of the work is very important since it is the premise upon which the treatment was given. 4. The dose of lead acetate given to the animals that led to intoxication should have been quantified and specified. 5. Ethical consent with regards to the use of the experimental animals should be clearly stated. Ethical issue: Standard international practice frowns at the use of chloroform for animal sacrifice which was used in this current experiment.	Correction made. Plant parts used were leaves. SI units added. An established protocol was followed. Reference cited. An established protocol was followed. Reference cited. Typographical error. Dichlormethane was used. Ethical consent clearly stated and highlighted.
<u>Minor</u> REVISION comments	There is a need to correct few spelling/typographical errors and grammatical mistakes.	All checked. Thankyou Reviewer. All your comments are appreciated.
<u>Optional/General</u> comments		