



SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	International Journal of Biochemistry Research & Review
Manuscript Number:	Ms_IJBCRR_25074
Title of the Manuscript:	Alternative Routes to Homoserine Activation and Sulfuration: Critical Steps in Methionine Biosynthesis
Type of the Article	Review paper

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound.

To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)



SDI Review Form 1.6

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<u>Compulsory</u> REVISION comments	The review is good and uptodate, will be of interest to readers. It can be accepted for publication with following revision. Section 6.1 (homoserine transacetylase) has some overlap with published literature, the article has been cited though, still some modification can be considered. In respect of Fig-4 (A & B), Fig-10 (A&B), Fig-11 and Fig-12 (A&B) if assistance has been taken from published source, reference may be given in the legend of the figure itself.	Several additional citations have been added to specific statements made in Section 6.1. References have been added to each of these figure legends.
<u>Minor</u> REVISION comments		
<u>Optional/General</u> comments		