



SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	International Journal of Biochemistry Research & Review
Manuscript Number:	Ms_IJBcRR_23974
Title of the Manuscript:	Comparative DPPH (2, 2-Diphenyl-1-Pycrylhydrazyl) Radical Scavenging Activity of Five Indigenous Herbal Plants and their Polyherbal Extract
Type of the Article	

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound.

To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)



SDI Review Form 1.6

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<u>Compulsory</u> REVISION comments	1. In the heading and wherever applicable it is Picrylhydrazyl and not pycrylhydrazyl. 2. In the abstract and all over the manuscript for ascorbic acid either capital letter or small letter has to be given. 3. In conclusion the starting word "The " to be capitalized. 4. Page 2, 58 th line the " indigenous " has to be spell checked. 5. Page 2, the author has mentioned only the common name for ginger alone . What about other plants ? 6. References have to be rechecked	1. Correction effected 2. Correction effected 3. Correction effected 4. Correction effected 5. Correction effected 6. References have been rechecked and there are in agreement with the citations in the text.
<u>Minor</u> REVISION comments	The author can include some of the reported phytochemicals responsible for the antioxidant activity.	
<u>Optional/General</u> comments		