



SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	International Journal of Biochemistry Research & Review
Manuscript Number:	Ms_IJBcRR_23974
Title of the Manuscript:	Comparative DPPH (2, 2-Diphenyl-1-Pycrylhydrazyl) Radical Scavenging Activity of Five Indigenous Herbal Plants and their Polyherbal Extract
Type of the Article	

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound.

To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)



SDI Review Form 1.6

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<u>Compulsory</u> REVISION comments	Dear Editor, After reading paper entitled "Comparative DPPH (2, 2-Diphenyl-1-Pycrylhydrazyl) Radical Scavenging Activity of Five Indigenous Herbal Plants and their Polyherbal Extract", I came to the following conclusions: 1. In some parts of the chapters "Results" and „Discussion“, the Authors refer a lot to the literature rather than their own results. Therefore this paper is a bit like some review. 2. Examining papers with similar subject matter, I found that they contain much more results. 3. I suggest that the authors do some more assays (eg NO•, OH•, O₂^{•-}; H₂O₂, LPx, ...) from which they could make relevant conclusions about antioxidant properties of these five indigenous plants.	1. We have focused more on our results since we carried out more assays. This is an original research paper and not a review. 2 and 3. We were able to carry out more assays namely NO•, OH•, and O₂^{•-}. We believe with these 4 different assays, we can draw reasonable conclusions on the anti-radical properties of the plants.
<u>Minor</u> REVISION comments		
<u>Optional/General</u> comments		