



SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	International Journal of Biochemistry Research & Review
Manuscript Number:	Ms_IJBCRR_23693
Title of the Manuscript:	Minimizing bioavailability of fluoride through addition of calcium-magnesium citrate or a calcium and magnesium-containing vegetable to the diets of growing rats
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound.

To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)



SDI Review Form 1.6

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<u>Compulsory</u> REVISION comments	Line 183: According to figure 3, FF showed least urinary fluoride excretion and not FC. Figures 1,2 and 3 lack error bars.	1. Agreed. Line 183 has been correct to FF. 2. SE bars for Figures are difficult to add as they are very small relative to units in graphs. We have added a short statement in the legend on SE values for Figures 2 and 3 indicating their relative size. Figure 1 is a percentage of incremental change for which SE bars would not be meaningful. However, to indicate the variation we provide the following sentence in the text; “At the end of the 6 weeks, body weights (g) for FF, FC, CA and M were (mean $\pm$ SE): 219.1$\pm$8.9, 231.3 $\pm$ 3.4, 223.2 $\pm$ 7.9, and 241.0 $\pm$ 9.4, respectively. “
<u>Minor</u> REVISION comments		
<u>Optional/General</u> comments		