SDI Review Form 1.6

my

Journal Name:	**International Journal of Biochemistry Research & Review**
Manuscript Number:	**Ms_IJBCRR_23450**
Title of the Manuscript:	**SERUM OSTEOCALCIN LEVELS IN HYPERTHYROIDISM: A CASE CONTROL STUDY**
Type of the Article	**Original Research Articles**

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound.
To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline)

SDI Review Form 1.6

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	**Author's comment** *(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)*
Compulsory REVISION comments	**1- This is a study of osteocalcin levels at hyperthyroidism.** **2- Figures and tables are well shaped.** **3- English language must be edited by native English speaker.** **4- Kruskal Wallis must be described at materials section of abstract not at result section.** **5- References are a bit old. I suggest two uptodate studies for the references.** **6- Line 16-19; Hyperthyroidism sometimes caused by paraneoplastic disease. Because of this, in these cases normalizing the thyroid function with antithyroid drugs can not improve prognosis(** Minerva Chir. 2014 Jun;69(3):147-53.) (Med Sci Monit. 2014 Aug 4;20:1369-75. doi: 10.12659/MSM.890804.)	Ok
Minor REVISION comments		
Optional/General comments		