SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	**International Journal of Biochemistry Research & Review**
Manuscript Number:	**Ms_IJBCRR_23293**
Title of the Manuscript:	**CYSTATIN C, AGE AND GENDER IN HEALTHY AFRICAN BLACK ADULTS : IVORIAN EXEMPLE**
Type of the Article	**Original Research Article**

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound.
To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline)

SDI Review Form 1.6

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	**Author's comment** *(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)*
Compulsory REVISION comments	The scientific scope of the manuscript is interesting, but the findings are not ok presented. Additionally, larger part of the results (including table and fig), introduction, and conclusion needs to be reconstructed or elaborated. ps. Page 3. Please delete "We also studied the effect of age and gender on the concentrations of cystatin C." page 3. The authors are suggested to provide the Inter- and intra-assay coefficients of variation.	corrections are made in the document and highlighted in yellow This part has been canceled the coefficients of variation range from 1 to 5 (p6). Do you want a new tablewith each coefficient?
Minor REVISION comments		
Optional/General comments		