



SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	International Journal of Biochemistry Research & Review
Manuscript Number:	Ms_IJBCRR_23196
Title of the Manuscript:	Comparative Hepatotoxic Effects of Aqueous and Phenolic Extracts of Avocado (Persea americana) Seed in Wistar Albino Rats
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound.

To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)



SDI Review Form 1.6

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<u>Compulsory</u> REVISION comments	In general Authors are urged to discuss critically their data in the light of the literature cited by the reviewer. Also, the MS should be implemented with more experimental data (experiments are suggested in a comment embedded in the reviewed text). This would make the work stronger, more credible and informative. The MS lacks scientific robustness, soundness is difficult to appreciate when so few experimental data are presented and discussed in a superficial manner. In conclusion the MS is not publishable as such. Specific comments are visible in the PDF file in the form of balloons.	Alot of literatures was reviewed and compared with the current study
<u>Minor</u> REVISION comments	Revise the English form and focus better the aims and scopes of the work.	Taking care of and corrected
<u>Optional/General</u> comments	The data presented in this work are much too preliminary. The MS is not suite to be submitted as a full research paper. Possibly the Authors should reduce it down to a short communication. But also in this case the experimental results would remain scant and almost deprived of interest for a general reader engaged in the field.	