



SDI FINAL EVALUATION FORM 1.1

PART 1:

Journal Name:	International Journal of Biochemistry Research & Review
Manuscript Number:	Ms_IJBCRR_21645
Title of the Manuscript:	Antimalarial Potentials of Leaf and Stem Bark Methanol Extracts of Diospyros mespiliformis on Plasmodium Berghei (Nk65) Infected Mice
Type of Article:	Original research Article

PART 2:

FINAL EVALUATOR'S comments on revised paper (if any)	Authors' response to final evaluator's comments
Line 9-10 correct to read – Departments of Biochemistry and Pharmacy Ahmadu Bello University, Zaria and for a period of 6 months. Line 22 – correct to – showed the presence of Line 23 change had to with Line 26 change significance to significant and include the group no Line 27 – use- Liver and kidney weights..... end with –treated groups Line 29 – methanol extract of what? Leaf or stem? Line 30-33—not relevant in abstract 35- 38—please delete the highlighted. The researcher did not study the mechanism by which the samples exhibited the effect. I strongly suggest that the researcher should conclude that the mechanism of the suppressive effect observed by the samples is not known. This is usually accepted in science. The researcher can also suggest that further studies should be carried out on this under recommendation. Line 50—separate the words Line 51- remove the full stop sign Line 56- separate the words Line 62 – spacing Line 64- spacing Line 69- change a to an Line 73- space words Line 152- please check the formula Line 165- if there was the value for ethylacetate fraction , pls record(-) Line 94, 133, 174, 193, 202, 208 and 214 – Artesunte alone as a drug is not combination therapy. Please recheck Line 216 and 217- START WITH – This study shows the prsesence of..... Delete-are present. Relate these phytochemicals to their function in suppressing parasites.	The corrections were effected in the article Methanol extract of leaf and stem bark All corrections were effected

Line 232-spacing Line 234 - spelling Line 238 and 239 - spacing Line 247 - check the statistic representation for no significant difference Line 249 the phrase is too strong for a work you cannot explain its mechanism of action Line 255- 259---this is not part of your work. It is very different from the present work. expunge Line 220-222 - rephrase Line 230- 231- there is no scientific basis for this strong justification. You can use the word like 'may'	Could not get any statistical representation for no significant difference All corrections were effected
--	--