



SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	<u>British Journal of Medicine and Medical Research</u>
Manuscript Number:	Ms_BJMMR_22624
Title of the Manuscript:	DIABETES IN PREGNANCY STUDY GROUP IN INDIA (DIPSI) – A NOVEL CRITERION TO DIAGNOSE GDM
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound.

To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)



SDI Review Form 1.6

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
<u>Compulsory</u> REVISION comments		
<u>Minor</u> REVISION comments	line 6 like the one by Diabetes - line11 75g OGTT was performed line12 at fasting and 2 hr Two to 3 days later, the patients Line19 years, respectively. Line20 cases were GDM cases diagnoses by both WHO and DIPSI Line 23 What significance? Correlation? Line 38 avoid this, Line parity, and Line 44,45 taken-----obtained is better Line 47 between 24 weeks and 28 weeks	Done Done Corrected. Corrected. Corrected. Done Corrected. Corrected. Corrected. Corrected.



SDI Review Form 1.6

	Line49 criteria, two blood samples at Line50 Tow to 3 days later, the patients Line51 was collected Line52 were Line 66 cases were Line115 generations --- groups Line 118 GDM, Line158 WHO procedure patients, DIPSI's one. Please check the rest.	Corrected. Corrected. Corrected. Corrected. Corrected. Corrected. Corrected. Corrected. Corrected.
<u>Optional/General</u> comments	The content is O.K. but the author should improve English. Commas should be placed in appropriate positions.	