



SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	International Journal of Biochemistry Research & Review
Manuscript Number:	Ms_IJBcRR_21483
Title of the Manuscript:	EFFECT OF COOKING METHODS ON PROXIMATE AND MINERAL COMPOSITION OF FLUTED PUMPKIN (TelfairiaOccidentalis)LEAVES
Type of the Article	

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound.

To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)



SDI Review Form 1.6

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<u>Compulsory</u> REVISION comments	The work is of interest, with adequate methodology for this type of work. Under results some of the tables are not necessary, presents unusual classification such as significantly/moderated, how was this quantified? The argument in special when it comes to protein content is very questionable; the arguments for your essay are inconsistent. Regarding the minerals, depending on the type of heat and water absorption there will be a concentration or dilution of nutrients, such fact was not approached. The references used are out dated.. Table 2 and 4 is messy and unnecessary.	
<u>Minor</u> REVISION comments	On the table must be specified that identical letters are according to the columns The columns' (fig 1) coloration/subtitles should have another identification (textures) if the publication is not colored.	
<u>Optional/General</u> comments		

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Maria Elisabeth Machado Pinto e Silva
Department, University & Country	Department of Nutrition, University of Sao Paulo, Brazil