SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	**International Journal of Biochemistry Research & Review**
Manuscript Number:	**Ms_IJBCRR_22335**
Title of the Manuscript:	**Prospect of biofuel production and its application from plant and animal waste waste byproduct as sustainable source of energy**
Type of the Article	**Original Research Article**

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound.
To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline)

SDI Review Form 1.6

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	**Author's comment** *(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)*
Compulsory REVISION comments	The Author displayed gross incompetence in writing a smooth and coherent scientific paper. Apart from incoherency, there are several grammatical errors that needed to be corrected. Fig.1 should be divided in to Figures 1 and 2 for the sake of clarity. Table 1 should state the names of the methyl esters in an additional column. More information should be given on the method used for the GC-MS, i.e. carrier gas, oven temp, etc. Table 2 did not contain enough properties to reach the conclusion. No method of elemental analysis was mentioned. Camel waste should be expunged from the report as no enough information on it is available. How was the emission measured? Line 4 Remove waste Line 8 capable of removing emissions Line 9 use generation not creation Line 13 Biodiesel not biobiesel Line 15 .. social and economic development..... Line 31 renewable energies Line 36 has a low emission profile will hence not pose .... Line 38 to overcome this, cheap feedstock such as waste cooking oil..... Line 40 waste oils.... Line 45 and 45 recast Line 51 few researches have been	**Corrected**

SDI Review Form 1.6

	Line 53 focus on the prospect for biofuel production Line 56 and to characterize it Line 61 restaurants Line 71 remove distinct later Line 77-80 recast not clear. Line 86 were determined Line 87 state the ASTM standard Line 89 the biodiesel produced were sent for engine tests. Line 91 Not clear Line 116 unit should be in mm^2/s Line 177 TAN according to ASTM standards Line 120 Shows that all the values of the elements fall.... Line 123 emissions, co, HC.... Line 126 values Line 128-131 temp was not measured Line 131-138 not relevant	
Minor REVISION comments		
Optional/General comments	The paper is disjointed. Should not the title suggested.	