



SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	International Journal of Biochemistry Research & Review
Manuscript Number:	Ms_IJBCRR_22036
Title of the Manuscript:	ACUTE TOXICITY OF AQUEOUS AND ETHANOLIC EXTRACTS OF <i>Strophanthus hispidus</i> STEM BACK
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound.

To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)



SDI Review Form 1.6

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<u>Compulsory</u> REVISION comments	Line 26...PLANT and not plat The tradition has always been to put line 54 before line 47 Line 61-68 has no reference or the authorship of the methodology The acute toxicity in general is just a bench for the continuation or otherwise of studying a plant. In this case further cytotoxic studies should have been carried to ascertain the true toxic level of the plant. Ethical Issues: Use of animals and as presented there is no reference to any protocol	Noted. There is really no particular order of appearance for both lines. You may even find the use of line 47 before 54 in most of the literature. Noted. As much as I agree with you that LD₅₀ is a guide to selection of doses for further studies on a plant, the result are also very much necessary to ascertain how toxic a plant could be especially when such data have not be documented before. It may interest you to know that this is a preliminary study of this plant and the result has provided information on its acute intoxication. However, I think it would be appropriate to include your suggestions as part of the recommendations. Noted.
<u>Minor</u> REVISION comments		
<u>Optional/General</u> comments		