



SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	International Journal of Biochemistry Research & Review
Manuscript Number:	Ms_IJBcRR_21998
Title of the Manuscript:	Protective effect of Dorema glabrum on diazinon-induced oxidative stress in rat kidney
Type of the Article	Study Protocols

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound.

To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)



SDI Review Form 1.6

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<u>Compulsory</u> REVISION comments	The paper needs to be rewritten sincerely for grammatical and logical mistakes. Introduction should be reduced by atleast half. Unnecessary citing of literature should be avoided. Why did the author choose 21 days study. Is there any guideline. Most of the herbal plants possess antioxidant property and can be used to ameliorate the oxidative stress induced by organophosphates. It is a general observation. The quality of the paper can be improved by including more of such works instead of mentioning general remarks about the cellular antioxidants. The paper needs major revision.	Introduction was reduced by half as requested. Entire paper was reviewed for grammatical mistake. Days of the study was selected from other similar works such as Ameliorating effects of curcumin and vitamin E on diazinon-induced oxidative damage in rat liver and erythrocytes M Messarah, W Amamra, A Boumendjel, L Barkat, I Bouasla, Toxicology and industrial health 29 (1), 77-88 Unnecessary references were cancelled. At the end of the paper, the results of similar study were mentioned.
<u>Minor</u> REVISION comments	Line 130 it should be sample collection and processing	This change was also made.
<u>Optional/General</u> comments	The paper can be accepted but after major revision	