



SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	International Journal of Biochemistry Research & Review
Manuscript Number:	Ms_IJBcRR_20593
Title of the Manuscript:	PURIFICATION AND CHARACTERIZATION OF ENDOGLUCANASE FROM SORGHUM (S. bi-color) AND MILLET (Pennisetum typhoides & Digitaria exilis) MALTS
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound.

To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)



SDI Review Form 1.6

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
<u>Compulsory</u> REVISION comments		
<u>Minor</u> REVISION comments		
<u>Optional/General</u> comments	Manuscript is theoretical	We wish to acknowledge your remarks, Sir and we do appreciate the time spared to go through our work. In response to your comment Sir, the theories serve as backup to the experimental work and are needful for clarity and understanding. In addition, to someone outside the field of science, the manuscript may actually seem theoretical and abstract but embedded are numerous practical work, starting with the collection and preparation of samples, extraction of the enzyme - endogluanase, then purification (ammonium sulphate and gel filtration) and characterization of the enzyme (effect of pH, temperature, substrate concentrations and divalent cations on the enzyme). The whole procedure was repeated for three different grains and all the details provided. We believe a second conscious look at the work will give more insight. Thanks