



SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	International Journal of Biochemistry Research & Review
Manuscript Number:	2015_IJBcRR_18221
Title of the Manuscript:	Adipose tissue : A regulator for obesity and its complications
Type of the Article	

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound.

To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)



SDI Review Form 1.6

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<u>Compulsory</u> REVISION comments	Abstract: It needs to be rephrased. Keywords should be written in <i>italics</i>. A more integrated appraisal of the relevant literature can be written. It can be further discussed from [Prevalence and associated comorbidities of body mass index ranges, International Journal of Medicine, 3 (1) (2015) 16-21 OR A comprehensive review on <i>metabolic syndrome</i>, Cardiol Res Pract., 2014:943162]. The manuscript can be subdivided into different paragraphs: Definition, epidemiology & prevalence, pathophysiology, effects on various organs/systems, complications, etc.... Discuss adipose tissue and obesity on the terms of pathophysiology, biochemical, clinical and metabolic terms. Role of genetics can be mentioned. More schematic diagrams, tables, or flowcharts can be added to make data more presentable.	Keywords has been changed in to italics Necessary information has been added in the manuscript it covers maximum reviewer comments One more table has been added



SDI Review Form 1.6

	Conclusion: It needs to be rephrased. References: They should be set as per journal guidelines.	References changed as per journal instructions
Minor REVISION comments	There are several inaccuracies and typographical errors throughout the manuscript which should be addressed.	Typographical errors has been corrected
Optional/General comments	This manuscript doesn't provide us the content data, thus requires the thorough and mandatory revision. Care should be taken not to mention the same content repeatedly.	