



SDI FINAL EVALUATION FORM 1.1

PART 1:

Journal Name:	International Journal of Biochemistry Research & Review
Manuscript Number:	2013_IJBcRR_3613
Title of the Manuscript:	Synthesis, characterization and screening of Some Schiff bases as potential antimicrobial agents

PART 2:

FINAL EVALUATOR'S comments on revised paper (if any)	Authors' response to final evaluator's comments
1. I appreciate authors for their efforts to rewrite the introduction part in a very useful way. 2. The authors changed the name of the synthesized compound in the revised MS(Why they changed the names) because in Table 1. all the datas are different from the previous MS, from SBS-4 to SBS-7(viz. molecular formula, mol. Wt., M.P., % yield, Rf value). 3. In Result and Conclusion, the data of IR and NMR contradictory from the previous MS. 4. There is no deviation in the biological activity data from the previous MS, then how will the authors justify the results in the revised MS where the compounds differ in their conformity.	<N/A> <Sorry for the inconvenience. As there were many mistakes while writing the analytical data of the synthesized compound in previous MS. So, after 1st revision, we analyse and corrected the whole analytical data and finalise the MS with necessary changes as per reviewer guidelines. > <The data of IR and NMR is therefore needed to change in the results and discussion section of recent MS.> <While for the biological activity data, we have checked the data and confirm it, that there is no change in the biological data of synthesized compounds. > Please consider the recent MS(as final) with all correction in the analytical data and others as per reviewer suggestions.