



SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	International Journal of Advances in Nephrology Research
Manuscript Number:	Ms_IJANR_43206
Title of the Manuscript:	Risk Factors for Carpal Tunnel Syndrome in Hemodialysis Patients: A Literature Review
Type of the Article	Review article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)



SDI Review Form 1.6

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments	<p>Check comments in text</p> <p>Feedback received: add more data bases used, search words used, range for the year of articles</p> <p>Feedback received: why did the authors use pubmed only. Remember a systematic review should encompass all the available and recommended data basis to have a clear realistic of the concept being covered. using only one data base will introduce bias. Try to expand your data bases so that you can have more studies on the concept under review.</p> <p>Feedback received: what about the exclusion criteria?</p> <p>Feedback received: what type of statistical analysis tool. Remember, some analysis do not reveal the associations or risk . Therefore, you have to be specific.</p> <p>Feedback received: what types of articles were found for the review, were they descriptive cross sectional, etc. May you please highlight that in the form of a table where you put authors, title of article, type of study, sample size if there is any and the country where the article originated. This will enable you to see if your results are representing the global perspective or a certain continent or region.</p> <p>2. For your results section, just mention the identified risk factors for example, age , highlight from which age group to what age group. Then the rest of the things can be covered in discussion. If possible, can you put all the identified factors in one table.</p> <p>Take this to discussion section</p> <p>Table 3-is this a factor influencing the development of CTS?</p> <p>Conclusion-may you please add limitation of the review.</p>	<p>Thank you for your feedback. Manuscript corrected.</p> <p>Databases were extended. gathered more articles for review, with a narrower period (10 yrs vs. 20 yrs previously), and keywords</p> <p>Databases extended, narrower period of selection, keyword used included.</p> <p>Exclusion criteria has been included</p> <p>Statistical analysis used by the reviewed article has been shown in table 2. This study did not do any further analysis.</p> <p>Done. Table 1 and 2 represents all the articles identified and used for review as well as the risk factors mentioned in the conclusion of table 2.</p> <p>Discussion has been revised</p> <p>Not really but different dialysis membranes seem to have an influence in the CTS rate and progression.</p> <p>Limitations of the review were added</p>
Minor REVISION comments		
Optional/General comments		