



SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	International Blood Research & Reviews
Manuscript Number:	Ms_IBRR_44667
Title of the Manuscript:	Comparison of Human Erythrocyte Filterability with Trapping Rate Obtained by Nickel Mesh Filtration Technique: Two Independent Parameters of Erythrocyte Deformability
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments	Author needs to modify the abstract as his aim of the study is not conveyed clearly. Has the author analysed any sample from patients of diabetes or dyslipidemia. This comparison would help someone to analyse the results better. Author should arrange references as per the journal requirements.	1. Thank you for comment, and we revised the Abstract as aim should convey the present study clearly. 2. Thank you for the second comment. Trapping rate of erythrocytes in diabetic, dyslipidemic or hypertensive patients is important and the target of the next project. We touched this point in Conclusion. 3. Yes, we refined the style of references as in this journal.
Minor REVISION comments		
Optional/General comments		We added one more citation as a reference in Discussion.

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details) Has the author taken consent and ethical clearance since the work involves invasive procedure like venepuncture	CONSENT Medical information extraction was informed to and signed informed consent was obtained from all the subjects at the enrollment. ETHICAL APPROVAL All the procedure performed in this study were in accordance with the ethical standards of our institutional and/or national research committee and with updated Declaration of Helsinki (2008).