www.sciencedomain.org



SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	International Journal of Biochemistry Research & Review
Manuscript Number:	Ms_IJBCRR_26076
Title of the Manuscript:	Comparative Investigation of the Effects of the Commonly-consumed Aqueous Extracts of Hibiscus sabdariffa (Zobo Drinks) on Haematological Parameters using Normal Wistar Albino Rats
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that <u>NO</u> manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '<u>lack of Novelty'</u>, provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound.

To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments	Title:	
	Remove the word "the" from "the commonly used"	
	Introduction	
	 Line 14 – "Hibiscus varieties are planted for the fibres they produce." Rephrase the sentence. It is not clear. 	
	Line 15 – "names like Roselle and Sorrel in	
	English and it is locally called zobo and Isapa	
	in Nig". The sentence should be corrected to	
	"H. sabdriffa is commonly known as Roselle	
	and sorrel in enhlish and Zobo and Isapa in	

www.sciencedomain.org



SDI Review Form 1.6

www.sciencedomain.org



SDI Review Form 1.6

	of all the language falls of self-services for	
	studying haematological parameters.	
	Material and methods	
	 Line 65 – should be method described by What are the animal house conditions Why animals were separated according to their weights. They should be divided randomly to minimize bias Give the detailed methodology of preparation of B, C, D and E. 	
	 Results and discussion What is meant by a. b in the table? The table axes should be changed. It is easy to compare across than vertically Are there any toxic effects? What is the reason for observed results? Should be justified. 	
Minor REVISION comments	The conclusion – How can authors conclude that the drink increase the blood volume.	
Optional/General comments	English grammar should be improved throughout.	

www.sciencedomain.org



SDI Review Form 1.6

PART 2:

		Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Dinithi Peiris
Department, University & Country	Department of Zoology, University of Sri Jayewardenepura, Sri Lanka

Created by: EA Checked by: ME Approved by: CEO Version: 1.6 (07-06-2013)