



SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	International Blood Research & Reviews
Manuscript Number:	Ms_IBRR_41710
Title of the Manuscript:	Haematological Correlate of anti-Phospholipid Syndrome in HIV Patients attending a Tertiary Health Institution in South-Western Nigeria
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)



[SDI Review Form 1.6](#)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments	<p>This is a very interesting paper. It contributes considerably to knowledge in the field of HIV.</p> <p>The knowledge of this sub area of HIV is quite up to date and sound. It is not of common interest in our environment apparently. It a good case to highlight the area of immune mediated disorders common in HIV.</p>	
Minor REVISION comments	<p>The revisions to be done are mainly grammatical spellings errors etc.</p> <p>However, the following alterations should be made accordingly</p> <p>241-HIV is a pandemic and has remained a public health concern for many decades. 259- While not why 263-Levels not level 283-Used not use 302-Hb not HB 363-Are not is 368- However and not howbeit. also the use of howbeit anywhere in the article including the abstract should be changed to however.</p>	<p>All necessary corrections have been made accordingly.</p> <p>Thank you for your observations.</p>
Optional/General comments		