



SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	International Blood Research & Reviews
Manuscript Number:	Ms_IBRR_38371
Title of the Manuscript:	External quality assessment of transfusion-transmissible infections testing
Type of the Article	Short Research article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments	Your citations are not well organized. Where is (1)? Consider elaborate laboratory testing.	
Minor REVISION comments	Write NAT in full appropriately.	
Optional/General comments	The abstract looks too long, consider the word count and re-adjust. There are numerous grammar errors, making the general flow inconsistent. Write all abbreviations in full the very first time of their use.	

As per the guideline of editorial office we have followed VANCOUVER reference style for our paper.

Kindly see the following link:

<http://sciencedomain.org/archives/20>

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Taremtwa Ivan Mugisha
Department, University & Country	Institute Of Allied Health Sciences, International Health Sciences University, Uganda