



SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	International Blood Research & Reviews
Manuscript Number:	Ms_IBRR_36160
Title of the Manuscript:	Assessment of some Immunohaematological parameters of HIV Positive Patients Co-infected with Hepatitis B Virus in Osun State, Nigeria
Type of the Article	

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound.

To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments	Type of Article should be indicated In line 164-165, the first statement should be deleted Are there ethical issues in this manuscript? Yes but it was not expatiated under the write up. Ethical approval committee's names and ethical approval registration number should be supplied too.	
Minor REVISION comments	In line 96-106, Under sample size calculation, P is prevalence of what? HIV, HBsAg or Co-infection? In line 128, enclose the two references numbers as [11, 33] In line 171, Under Results and Discussion. There should be subtopic as 3.1 Results and 3.2 Discussion. In line 1-3, the word "Immunohaematological parameters" should be reframed because parameters analysed don't portray it.	
Optional/General comments	Even though a lot of work had been on this area yet the work is still okay and the findings are worth-sharing with the scientific community if it can be revised appropriately.	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Atere Adedeji D.
Department, University & Country	Department of Medical Laboratory Science, Achievers University, Nigeria