



SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	International Blood Research & Reviews
Manuscript Number:	Ms_IBRR_37188
Title of the Manuscript:	SEROLOGICAL MARKERS OF HEPATITIS B VIRUS INFECTIVITY AMONG HEPATITIS B SURFACE ANTIGEN NEGATIVE BLOOD DONORS AT THE UNIVERSITY COLLEGE HOSPITAL, IBADAN
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound.

To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)



SDI Review Form 1.6

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments	<p>Reframe Topic to "Serological Markers of Hepatitis B virus infectivity among Hepatitis B surface antigen negative blood donors attending University College Hospital, Ibadan, Nigeria".</p> <p>2. Reframe objective in the Abstract to suit topic.</p> <p>3. Line 10: change blood donations to blood donors.</p> <p>4. Break down your materials and methods to headings: Study area, Study Population, Sample collection, Laboratory Investigation, Ethical Clearance and Statistical Analysis.</p> <p>5. The sex ratio is high (462-males and 28-female). Which sampling technique did you use?</p> <p>6. Include Inclusion criteria for blood donation in your methodology.</p> <p>7. Did you collect samples to test for HBsAg or you used only those negative for HBsAg at the facility for the study? Please be specific to capture objective.</p> <p>8. Add Ibadan and Nigeria to your Keywords.</p>	<p>I do not agree to adding attending to the title as quite a number of them might not be donating frequently in the blood bank facility.</p> <p>Done</p> <p>Done</p> <p>Done</p> <p>Prevalence of anti-HBc among HBsAg-negative donors</p> <p>Consecutive sampling was used. Generally, there are more male blood donors than female blood donor as shown by some study e.g Ogunfemi et al. Malawi Medical Journal 29 (1): March 2017</p> <p>Done</p> <p>Samples were collected and screened for HBsAg as part of the study.</p> <p>Done</p>



SDI Review Form 1.6

	<p>9. Line 98. Expunge (83 of 490)- Present it as 83 (16.9%).</p> <p>Line 102. Expunge (18 of 490)-Present it as 18 (3.7%).</p> <p>In table 1; change “No” to “No. Examined”.</p> <p>Line 105: There should be Key for those descriptions.</p> <p>Table 2 should be reframed to No. Examined (%).</p> <p>Check Line 114. Do not start a new heading with abbreviation (HBV-Hepatitis B virus).</p> <p>Line 130. Italicize et al.</p> <p>Delete Line 138-139 from the point that says; none of the samples in this study tested positive for either HCV or HIV. Was this part of your study?</p> <p>Line 154. Italicize et al. and Line 161. Italicize et al.</p> <p>Merge Line 163 with Line 162.</p>	<p>Done</p> <p>Already in place</p> <p>Done</p> <p>Done</p> <p>Done</p> <p>Done</p> <p>Done</p> <p>Done</p> <p>Done</p> <p>Done</p>
<u>Minor</u> REVISION comments		
<u>Optional/General</u> comments	<p>Check your References for typological errors and correct as stipulated in the Journal format.</p> <p>The research work got me interesting. I commend it.</p>	<p>Thank you</p>

As per the guideline of editorial office we have followed VANCOUVER reference style for our paper.

Kindly see the following link: <http://sciencedomain.org/archives/20>