



**SDI Review Form 1.6**

Journal Name:	<a href="#">International Blood Research &amp; Reviews</a>
Manuscript Number:	Ms_IBRR_36037
Title of the Manuscript:	Profile of CD4, Tumor necrosis factor alpha, Hematological parameters and prevalence of hematological cytopenia in HIV seropositive patients on Anti-retroviral therapy in university of port Harcourt teaching hospital.
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

**General guideline for Peer Review process:**

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound.

To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)

**PART 1: Review Comments**

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<b>Compulsory</b> REVISION comments	<p>The author (s) have carried out an interesting study, however, cytopenias in HIV have already been widely reported. It therefore looks as if no new message is coming out regarding cytopenias.</p> <p>Whereas critical laboratory analyses have been done, there is no credibility on the quality standards of the laboratory used, and it is not clear whether these results are accurate.</p> <p>As you population was HIV infected as well as on ART, the authors did not elucidate the clear effect of the two. It is likely and also known that these cytopenias could be ART related, especially if the regimens are known to cause an effect.</p> <p>The inferential statistics are not part of this paper!</p> <p>Are there ethical issues in this manuscript? Currently there is no sufficient evidence that research and ethics approval was obtained. Clarify</p>	<p>This study focuses on the south south of Nigeria.</p> <p>This has been resolved. Analysis was done in a reputable teaching hospital.</p> <p>An inclusion and exclusion criteria has been added to the manuscript.</p> <p>A new table showing this information has been added.</p>
<b>Minor</b> REVISION comments	Numerous typing errors as highlighted in the text	Noted
<b>Optional/General</b> comments	Kindly revise the language flow in your paper, and also include the source of funding for this work as well disclose the conflict of interest	Noted