



SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	International Blood Research & Reviews
Manuscript Number:	Ms_IBRR_34020
Title of the Manuscript:	Hb F level among Sickle cell anemia patients
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound.

To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)



[SDI Review Form 1.6](#)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<u>Compulsory</u> REVISION comments	1. There appears to be some mismatch between the data presented and conclusions drawn and need to be bridged in expanding discussion with more references and how this variation in results have been there. The authors need to justify their finding in relation to studies done in other settings and also how is it that low levels are insignificantly related to severity of disease and how many other studies support this in what circumstances need to be explained. 2. How the blood transfusions and hydroxyurea or other supportive treatments affect the conclusion of the authors, need to be explained in detail.	1- The finding was more discussed. 2- we add the exclusion criteria to the material and methods which include the patients should not receive blood transfusion or under treatment of hydroxyurea .
<u>Minor</u> REVISION comments	1. We need to give limitations of the study e.g. by not doing HBF estimation with modern HPLC system how much effect it would have on results etc. etc 2. Any other limitation.	1- The limitation of the study were added to the manuscript.
<u>Optional/General</u> comments		