SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	**International Blood Research & Reviews**
Manuscript Number:	**Ms_IBRR_33339**
Title of the Manuscript:	**Relationships between Blood Transfusion, Severity of Injury and Outcome of Severely Injured Patients Admitted To A Trauma Intensive Care Unit In Nigeria: An Observational Study**
Type of the Article	**Original Research Article**

General guideline for Peer Review process:
This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound.
To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:
(http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	**Author's comment** *(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)*
Compulsory REVISION comments		
Minor REVISION comments	ABSTRACT……*from subsequent treatment.* I suggest to specify *as severe sepsis and/or multiple organ failure* INTRODUCTION:….*because of the associated hazards identified with blood transfusion.* I suggest to specify: *mild or major transfusion reactions as infections, blood group incompatibility, pulmonary embolism, anaphylactic reactions* RESULTS: (pag. 15) …..*the relative scarcity of quality allogenic blood in the region [21, 30].* I suggest to add, in short, the situation.	Partly accepts Reviewer comment and adjustment effected. Do not quite accept reviewers comment as as presented but has modified the section to accommodate the Reviewers comments. Reviewers comment accepted and corrections effected
Optional/General comments	This is a very interesting report.	