



SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	International Blood Research & Reviews
Manuscript Number:	Ms_IBRR_33339
Title of the Manuscript:	Relationships between Blood Transfusion, Severity of Injury and Outcome of Severely Injured Patients Admitted To A Trauma Intensive Care Unit In Nigeria: An Observational Study
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound.

To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)



SDI Review Form 1.6

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments	The study is scientifically valid but requires some adjustments.	Accepts Reviewer's comments
Minor REVISION comments	Suggested adjustments: INTRODUCTION Pg 2 line 43: "certain ~~select~~ situations" PATIENTS AND METHODS Pg 5 line 111: " injury severity score and the ~~Simplifies~~ Simplified Acute Physiology Score II (SAP II)" Pg 6 line 127 and 128: " categorical data were presented ~~with as~~ proportions and percentages whereas continuous variables were presented as ~~averages such as in~~ means with standard deviation" RESULTS Pg 7 line 154 (Table 1): First row under age group ~~>20~~ should be changed to <20 Same with table 4 (pg 10 line 182): ~~>10~~ change to <10. There are a lot of disparities and contradictions in the statements of results of this study, examples include: Pg 11 line 190 and 191: " 1 person among the 362 patients that were not transfused in the ICU died within the same period" as also shown under Abstract pg 2 line 30 and 31, but is contradictory to Table 5 which showed that 2 people among the 362 died. Another example: pg 11 line 201: The relationship between blood transfusion and length of admission in the hospital was statistically significant at p-value of 0.67, in contrast to Table 6 which showed p-value of 0.001. The authors should revisit their statistical analysis and	Accepts Reviewer's comment and effected. Accept. Accepts and effected. Accepts and corrected. Accepts and Corrected Accepts and corrected. Accepts and Corrected.

[illegible]