



SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	International Blood Research & Reviews
Manuscript Number:	Ms_IBRR_31861
Title of the Manuscript:	EFFECT OF INTESTINAL PARASITOSIS ON HAEMOGLOBIN AND EOSINOPHIL LEVELS OF PATIENTS ATTENDING UNIVERSITY OF CALABAR TEACHING HOSPITAL, CALABAR, NIGERIA
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound.

To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)



SDI Review Form 1.6

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
<u>Compulsory</u> REVISION comments	Introduction: - citing of references required and rearrange the introduction as per comments included in the main manuscript Methodology - It should be elaborative. The methodology is poorly written Results: - A lot of redundant information and poor arrangement. Please do check the comments in the main manuscript file Discussion: - Citations of references used should be included. Give some scientific reasoning to the findings to make the paper more appropriate. Please clarify the Plagiarism: The authors should cite the references; otherwise most of the parts in manuscript will be regarded plagiarized. See the attached file.	References cited. Corrected. Results are revised accordingly. Revised The references have been cited.
<u>Minor</u> REVISION comments	Please describe the knowledge gap that the study is covering.	Has been included in the later part of introduction.
<u>Optional/General</u> comments	The paper is otherwise interesting, and good use of grammar.	Thank you.