



SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	International Blood Research & Reviews
Manuscript Number:	Ms_IBRR_29225
Title of the Manuscript:	PREVALENCE OF ANAEMIA AMONG CHILDREN ATTENDING PAEDIATRICS DEPARTMENT OF UDUTH, SOKOTO, NORTH-WESTERN NIGERIA
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound.

To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)



SDI Review Form 1.6

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<u>Compulsory</u> REVISION comments		
<u>Minor</u> REVISION comments	Compare results with other region and better gives in graphical form	It would have been very cumbersome, and may need another orientation
<u>Optional/General</u> comments	Malaria is major problem in Africa throughout the year. I suggestion for researcher is to compare the results with rainy season when incidence of malaria is very high. It ~~give~~ (gives) a better shape to his article.	There was a research carried out in during rainy season in the same paediatrics department in 2014 by Jiya et al., recorded a prevalence rate of 50% higher than the prevalence rate of 34.8% recorded in this study. This was capture in my discussion. Taking your decision on this regard may need the part of this orientation to be changed.