



SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	International Blood Research & Reviews
Manuscript Number:	Ms_IBRR_29225
Title of the Manuscript:	PREVALENCE OF ANAEMIA AMONG CHILDREN ATTENDING PAEDIATRICS DEPARTMENT OF UDUTH, SOKOTO, NORTH-WESTERN NIGERIA
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound.

To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)



SDI Review Form 1.6

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments		
Minor REVISION comments	In this article the authors discuss a serious public health problem for the countries of the world namely anemia. Moreover, in order to improve the manuscript, some remarks seem necessary to take into account. 1- At the summary: * authors must review the total workforce of 5 types of anemia found. What is presented corresponds to a total of 143 while the authors speak of a total of 139 children. * In addition, the percentages of the 5 types of anemia should correspond to 100% from the total number of anemic children that is 139 children. 2- In the introduction of level: * It is desirable that the authors present some prevalence of anemia in its different types in the country of NIGERIA * authors must also add some arguments justifying the <u>use of having complete this survey.</u> 3- At the Methodology: * The authors are to further explain the random selection of the sample of children who were surveyed. * I do not know why, the authors have not prepared a	Noted and would be corrected Noted and would be corrected The statement here is not clear



SDI Review Form 1.6

	questionnaire to look for some information about the socio-economic level, the existence of anemia in children from parents. All information would enrich the results 4. In terms of results: * It would be best at the table 3 to present different types of severity of anemia by age and sex. * Unfortunately there are no data on anemia parents of children to look for the existence of any correlation between anemia of parents and children.	Noted and would be corrected The questionnaire is here attached but it may affect the orientation of this paper Noted and would be corrected
<u>Optional/General</u> comments	I THINK THAT IF THE AUTHORS TAKE ACCOUNT OF NOTES ABOVE MENTIONED, THE ARTICLE COULD BE IMPROVED AND AGREES FOR A POSSIBLE PUBLICATION.	